Sufficient and Necessary Condition of Admissibility for Fractional-order Singular System

YU Yao $^{1, 2}$ JIAO Zhuang³ SUN Chang-Yin^{1,2}

Abstract This paper focuses on the admissibility condition for fractional-order singular system with order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. The definitions of regularity, impulse-free and admissibility are given first, then a sufficient and necessary condition of admissibility for fractional-order singular system is established. A numerical example is included to illustrate the proposed condition.

Key words Fractional-order singular systems, regularity, impulse-free, admissibility

Citation Yu Yao, Jiao Zhuang, Sun Chang-Yin. Sufficient and necessary condition of admissibility for fractional-order singular system. Acta Automatica Sinica, 2013, 39(12): 2160-2164 DOI 10.3724/SP.J.1004.2013.02160

The history of fractional calculus is more than 300 years old. Classical works on the fractional integral and fractional differential equations have been done by Oldham et al.^[1], and Poldubny^[2]. Recently, increasing attentions are paid to fractional differential equations and their applications in various science and engineering fields, such as electrochemistry^[3], electrode-electrolyte polarization^[4], viscous damping^[5-6], viscoelastic systems^[7], electric frac-tal networks^[8], electromagnetic waves^[9] and so on.

As an important application of fractional calculus, fractional-order control systems^[10] have attracted more and more interests in the last several years, for instance, some important issues of fractional-order systems, such as modeling, stability, controllability, observability were considered in [11-12]; PI^{λ}D^{μ} controller, the generalization of PID controller was proposed in [13]; CRONE control^[14] was the first robust control method based on fractional differentiation for linear systems; robust stability of interval uncertain fractional-order linear time invariant systems was investigated in [15-17]; a numerical algorithm for stability testing of fractional-order delay systems is presented in [18]. For more knowledge about fractional-order control theory and its applications, one can refer to [19-24].

Singular systems^[25] have been extensively studied in the past few decades due to the fact that singular systems can describe real physical systems better and more directly than regular systems. Naturally, many theoretical results for regular systems have been extended to singular cases^[26]. It is well known that issues of concern for singular systems are much more complicated than those for regular systems, because we need to consider not only stability, but also regularity and the absence of impulses at the same time $^{[27-28]}$

for singular systems. Regularization and stabilization for singular fractional-order systems with order between 1 and 2 was first investigated in [29]. Admissibility is a very important property for singular systems, and to the best of our knowledge, there exist no results about admissibility for fractional-order singular system, so in this paper we give the sufficient and necessary condition of admissibility for fractional-order singular system with fractional order $\alpha \in (0, 1).$

This paper is organized as follows. Some preliminaries about fractional-order calculus and fractional-order systems are recalled in Section 1. The main result for fractional-order singular system with order $\alpha \in (0,1)$ is given in Section 2, which includes the extensions of some of the basic results of singular integer-order systems to fractional-order singular system, e.g., the definition of regularity, impulse-free and admissibility. In particular, a sufficient and necessary condition of admissibility for fractionalorder singular system is given. In Section 3, a numerical example is included to illustrate the main result established in this paper.

Preliminaries 1

Fractional calculus^[2] has become a powerful mathematical tool and is playing a more and more important role in modern science and engineering.

In order to utilize fractional calculus for the discussion in current paper, the fundamental definition of fractional calculus is recalled. The uniform formula of fractional-order integral is defined as follows:

$${}_{0}\mathrm{D}_{t}^{-\alpha}f(t) := \mathrm{D}^{-\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1}f(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau$$

where $\alpha > 0$, f(t) is an arbitrary integrable function, $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is Gamma function.

Based on the definition of fractional-order integral, the well known definition of Caputo fractional-order derivative operator is defined as:

$${}_{0}^{C}\mathrm{D}_{t}^{\alpha}f(t) := \mathrm{D}^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{n-\alpha-1} f^{(n)}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau$$

where $n - 1 < \alpha < n$.

To proceed the discussion of the main result, the following lemma is given.

Lemma 1^[17]. A fractional-order system: $D^{\alpha}x(t) =$ Ax(t) (0 < α < 1) is asymptotically stable if and only if there exist two real symmetric positive definite matrices Q_{11} and Q_{21} , and two skew-symmetric matrices Q_{12} and Q_{22} such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} sym \left\{ \Theta_{ij} \otimes (Q_{ij}A) \right\} < 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\ -Q_{12} & Q_{11} \end{bmatrix} > 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} Q_{21} & Q_{22} \\ -Q_{22} & Q_{21} \end{bmatrix} > 0$$

where $sym\{X\} := X^{\mathrm{T}} + X$, Θ_{ij} (i, j = 1, 2) are defined as follows:

$$\Theta_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) & -\cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) \\ \cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) & \sin\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

$$\Theta_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) & \sin\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) \\ -\sin\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

$$\Theta_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) \\ -\cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) & \sin\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

Manuscript received December 12, 2012; accepted May 13, 2013 Supported by National Outstanding Youth Science Foundation (61125306), Major Research Plan of National Natural Science Foundation of China (91016004), National Natural Science Foundation (61203071), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (FRF-TP-13-017A), and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (20130006120027, 2011002110020) 20110092110020)

²⁰¹¹⁰⁰⁹²¹¹⁰⁰²⁰⁾ Recommended by Associate Editor SHEN Yi 1. School of Automation & Electrical Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, China 2. Key Laboratory of Advanced Control of Iron and Steel Process, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100083, China 3. Beijing Institute of Elec-tropic Science Beijing D0085, China 100854 Ching tronic System Engineering, Beijing 100854, China

No. 12

2 Main result

Consider the following fractional-order singular (FOS) system:

$$ED^{\alpha}x(t) = Ax(t), \ 0 < \alpha < 1 \tag{5}$$

where $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, $E \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ is a singular matrix such that $\operatorname{rank}(E) = r < n$, \mathbf{D}^{α} denotes the Caputo derivative operator.

Parallel to integer order singular systems, the concerning basic definitions and relevant facts for FOS system (5) are given as follows.

Definition 1. For FOS system (5), the triplet (E, A, α) is called regular if there exists a constant scalar $c_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $|c_0^{\alpha}E - A| \neq 0$, e.g., the pseudo-polynomial $|s^{\alpha}E - A|$ is not identically zero.

Similar to the proof of regularity of integer order singular systems, the regularity condition for fractional-order singular system is given as following.

Lemma 2. The triplet (E, A, α) in FOS system (5) is regular if and only if there exist two nonsingular matrices Q and P such that

$$QEP = \operatorname{diag}\left(I_{n_1}, N\right), \quad QAP = \operatorname{diag}\left(A_1, I_{n_2}\right) \quad (6)$$

where $n_1 + n_2 = n$, $A_1 \in \mathbf{R}^{n_1 \times n_1}$, $N \in \mathbf{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$ is nilpotent.

Proof. Sufficiency. Assume there exist two nonsingular matrices Q and P satisfying (5), then we can choose $c_0^{\alpha} \notin \sigma(A_1)$, where $\sigma(A_1)$ denotes the set of eigenvalues of A_1 , then

$$c_0^{\alpha} E - A| = |Q^{-1}| |P^{-1}| |c_0^{\alpha} Q E P - Q A P| = |Q^{-1}| |P^{-1}| |c_0^{\alpha} I_{n_1} - A_1| |c_0^{\alpha} N - I_{n_2}| \neq 0$$

Thus (E, A, α) is regular.

Necessity. If (E, A, α) is regular, then there exists $c_0 \in C$ such that $|c_0^{\alpha}E - A| \neq 0$. Note that $\overline{E} = (c_0^{\alpha}E - A)^{-1}E$, $\overline{A} = (c_0^{\alpha}E - A)^{-1}A$, so it is easy to obtain $\overline{A} = c_0^{\alpha}\overline{E} - I$.

On the other hand, it follows from the Jordan canonical form decomposition that there exists a nonsingular matrix T such that $T\bar{E}T^{-1} = \text{diag}(\bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2)$, where $\bar{E}_1 \in \mathbf{R}^{n_1 \times n_1}$ is nonsingular, $\bar{E}_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$ is nilpotent. From the above analysis, we know that $I - c_0^{\alpha} \bar{E}_2$ is nonsingular.

Let $Q = \text{diag}\left(\bar{E}_1^{-1}, (c_0^{\alpha}\bar{E}_2 - I)^{-1}\right) T(c_0^{\alpha}E - A)^{-1}$, and $P = T^{-1}$. From $\bar{A} = c_0^{\alpha}\bar{E} - I$, one has

$$QEP = \operatorname{diag}\left(I_{n_1}, N\right), QAP = \operatorname{diag}\left(A_1, I_{n_2}\right)$$

where $A_1 = \bar{E}_1^{-1} (c_0^{\alpha} \bar{E}_2 - I), N = (c_0^{\alpha} \bar{E}_2 - I)^{-1} \bar{E}_2$ is nilpotent.

Assume the triplet (E, A, α) in FOS system (5) is regular, then based on Lemma 2, the FOS system (5) can be transformed into

$$\begin{cases} D^{\alpha} x_1(t) = A_1 x_1(t) \\ N D^{\alpha} x_2(t) = x_2(t) \end{cases} \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \tag{7}$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) & x_2(t) \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} = P^{-1}x(t)$, the initial state response of FOS system (7) is

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = P \begin{bmatrix} E_{\alpha,1}(A_1t^{\alpha})x_1(0) \\ -\sum_{k=1}^{h-1}\delta^{((k-1)\alpha)}(t)N^k x_2(0) \end{bmatrix}, \quad t \ge 0$$
(8)

where $x_1(t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n_1}$, $x_2(t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n_2}$, $n_1 + n_2 = n$, $\delta(t)$ is the impulse function, $E_{\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is the two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function^[2].

From (8), we know that the triplet (E, A, α) is impulsefree if N = 0.

Definition 2. The finite eigenvalues of $(\lambda E - A)$ in FOS system (5) are called finite dynamic modes of the triplet (E, A, α) .

Let $\sigma(E, A, \alpha) = \{\lambda | \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, \lambda \text{ finite}, |\lambda E - A| = 0\}$ denotes the finite pole set for FOS system (5). It can be easily known from [11] that the FOS system (5) is asymptotically stable, if all the finite dynamic modes lie in the domain $D_s^{\alpha} := \{\lambda | |\arg(\lambda)| > \alpha \pi/2, \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\}$. Stable regions for FOS system (5) of order $0 < \alpha < 1, \alpha = 1$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$ are illustrated in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1 Stable regions D_s^{α} for $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$

Definition 3. The generalized eigenvectors ν satisfying $E\nu = 0$ are defined as:

1) The infinite eigenvector of order 1 satisfies $E\nu_i^1 = 0$.

2) The infinite eigenvector of order k satisfies $E\nu_i^k = A\nu_i^{k-1}, k > 1.$

Remark 1. Suppose that $E\nu^1 = 0$, then the infinite eigenvalues associated with the generalized principal vectors ν^k satisfying $E\nu^k = \nu^{k-1}$ are impulsive modes. The triplet (E, A, α) is impulse-free if and only if there exists no infinite eigenvector of order 2, ν^2 .

Definition 4. FOS system (5) is said to be admissible, if the triplet (E, A, α) is regular, impulse-free, and all the finite eigenvalues of triplet (E, A, α) lie in the stable regions of D_s^{α} .

In the following, as the main result, a sufficient and necessary condition of admissibility for FOS system (5) is derived.

Theorem 1. Assume the triplet (E, A, α) is regular, then FOS system (5) is admissible, if and only if there exist two real symmetric positive definite matrices Q_{11} and Q_{21} , and two skew-symmetric matrices Q_{12} and Q_{22} , and $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{(n-r) \times n}$ such that

$$sym\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\{ \Theta_{ij} \otimes \left(E^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{ij} A \right) \right\} + I_{2} \otimes \left(Q^{\mathrm{T}} E_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} A \right) \right\} < 0$$

$$\tag{9}$$

where rank $(E) = r < n, E_0 \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times (n-r)}$ is a matrix of full column rank such that $E^{\mathrm{T}}E_0 = 0, sym\{X\} := X^{\mathrm{T}} + X, \Theta_{ij} (i, j = 1, 2)$ are defined in $(1) \sim (4)$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Proof by contradiction, assume that triplet (E, A, α) is impulsive, then it can be known similarly from Remark 1 that there exists an infinite eigenvector of order 2, $\nu^2 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $E\nu^2 = A\nu^1$ and $E\nu^1 = 0$. By premultiplying $(I_2 \otimes \nu^1)^T$ and postmultiplying $(I_2 \otimes \nu^1)$, then (9) becomes

$$\begin{array}{l} \left(I_2 \otimes \nu^1\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \times \\ sym\left\{\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \left\{\Theta_{ij} \otimes \left(E^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{ij} A\right)\right\} + I_2 \otimes \left(Q^{\mathrm{T}} E_0^{\mathrm{T}} A\right) \\ \left(I_2 \otimes \nu^1\right) < 0 \end{array}\right\} \times$$

Then one has

$$\begin{split} &I_{2} \otimes \left(\nu^{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \times \\ &sym \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\{ \Theta_{ij} \otimes \left(E^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{ij} A\right) \right\} \right\} \left(I_{2} \otimes \nu^{1}\right) + \\ &I_{2} \otimes \left(\nu^{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} sym \left\{ I_{2} \otimes \left(Q^{\mathrm{T}} E_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} A\right) \right\} \left(I_{2} \otimes \nu^{1}\right) < 0 \end{split}$$

Then

$$I_{2} \otimes sym \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\{ \Theta_{ij} \otimes \left(\nu^{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \left(E^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{ij} A\right) \nu^{1} \right\} \\ I_{2} \otimes sym \left\{ \begin{array}{c} I_{2} \otimes \left(\nu^{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \left(Q^{\mathrm{T}} E_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} A\right) \nu^{1} \end{array} \right\} < 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

So, one has

$$I_{2} \otimes sym \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\{ \Theta_{ij} \otimes \left(E\nu^{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \left(Q_{ij}A\right)\nu^{1} \right\} \right\} + I_{2} \otimes sym \left\{ I_{2} \otimes \left(\nu^{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \left(Q^{T}E_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)A\nu^{1} \right\} < 0$$

Then

$$I_2 \otimes sym\left[I_2 \otimes \left(\nu^1\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \left(Q^{\mathrm{T}} E_0^{\mathrm{T}}\right) E \nu^2\right] < 0$$

Then the following inequality holds

$$I_2 \otimes \left[\left(\nu^1 \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \left(Q^{\mathrm{T}} E_0^{\mathrm{T}} E \right) \nu^2 + \left(\nu^2 \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \left(E^{\mathrm{T}} E_0 Q \right) \nu^1 \right] < 0$$

Of course, the above inequality does not hold, so the assumption is false. Then it can be known that triplet (E, A, α) is impulse-free.

Let λ be any finite eigenvalue of triplet (E, A, α) , ν is the corresponding eigenvector, i.e., $A\nu = \lambda E\nu$ and $\nu^* A^{\rm T} = \overline{\lambda}\nu^* E^{\rm T}$. From (9), one has

$$(I_{2} \otimes \nu)^{*} sym \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\{ \Theta_{ij} \otimes \left(E^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{ij} A \right) \right\} + \\ I_{2} \otimes \left(Q^{\mathrm{T}} E_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} A \right) \end{array} \right\} (I_{2} \otimes \nu) = \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\{ \Theta_{ij} \otimes \left(\lambda \nu^{*} E^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{ij} E \nu \right) \right\} + \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\{ \Theta_{ij}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \left(\bar{\lambda} \nu^{*} E^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{ij}^{\mathrm{T}} E \nu \right) \right\} = \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\{ \Theta_{ij} \otimes \left(\lambda Q_{ij} \right) + \Theta_{ij}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \left(\bar{\lambda} Q_{ij}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \right\} (I_{2} \otimes E \nu) = \\ (I_{2} \otimes \nu^{*} E^{\mathrm{T}}) \left\{ \Theta_{ij} \otimes \left(\lambda Q_{ij} \right) + \Theta_{ij}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \left(\bar{\lambda} Q_{ij}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \right\} (I_{2} \otimes E \nu) = \\ (I_{2} \otimes E \nu) < 0 \end{array}$$

It is known from the above inequality that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left\{\Theta_{ij}\otimes\left(\lambda Q_{ij}\right)+\Theta_{ij}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\left(\bar{\lambda}Q_{ij}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right\}<0$$

Based on Lemma 4, one knows that both λ and $\overline{\lambda}$ lie in D^{α} , i.e., all the finite eigenvalues of triplet (E, A, α) lie in D^{α} , then FOS system (5) is admissible.

Necessities. As triplet (E, A, α) is regular and impulsefree, then there exist nonsingular matrices M and N, such that

$$MEN = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \end{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} N_1 & N_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$MAN = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \end{bmatrix} A \begin{bmatrix} N_1 & N_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $M_1 \in \mathbf{R}^{r \times n}$, $N_1 \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times r}$.

Note that $M_2AN = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix}$, $M_2E = 0$, then it can be known from Lemma 1 and the D^{α}-stability of triplet (E, A, α) that there exist two real symmetric positive definite matrices \bar{Q}_{11} and \bar{Q}_{21} , and two skew-symmetric matrices \bar{Q}_{12} and \bar{Q}_{22} , such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{2}sym\left\{\Theta_{ij}\otimes\left(\bar{Q}_{ij}A_{1}\right)\right\}<0$$

Therefore, there exists a sufficiently small positive constant $\varepsilon,$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{2}sym\left\{\Theta_{ij}\otimes\left(\bar{Q}_{ij}A_{1}\right)\right\}+I_{2}\otimes\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}N_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}N_{1}\right)<0$$

The above inequality can also be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} sym \left\{ \Theta_{ij} \otimes \left(\bar{Q}_{ij} A_{1} \right) \right\} + \left[I_{2} \otimes \left(\varepsilon N_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} N_{2} \right) \right] \left[I_{2} \otimes \left(2 \varepsilon N_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} N_{2} \right) \right]^{-1} \left[I_{2} \otimes \left(\varepsilon N_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} N_{1} \right) \right] < 0$$

Invoking Schur complement, the above inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} sym \left\{ \Theta_{ij} \otimes \left(\bar{Q}_{ij} A_{1} \right) \right\} & -I_{2} \otimes \left(\varepsilon N_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} N_{2} \right) \\ -I_{2} \otimes \left(\varepsilon N_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} N_{1} \right) & -I_{2} \otimes \left(2 \varepsilon N_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} N_{2} \right) \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

 \Leftrightarrow

Substituting the decomposed form of triplet (E, A, α) into the above inequality, and let $\tilde{Q}_{i1} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_{i1} & 0\\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} > 0$,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{Q}_{i2} &= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_{i2} & 0\\ 0 & 0_{n-r} \end{bmatrix}, i = 1, 2, \text{ then} \\ sym \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2} \sum\limits_{j=1}^{2} \left\{ \Theta_{ij} \otimes \left(N^{\mathrm{T}} E^{\mathrm{T}} M^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{Q}_{ij} M A N \right) \right\} + \\ I_2 \otimes \left(N^{\mathrm{T}} (-\varepsilon N_2) M_2 A N \right) \end{array} \right\} < 0 \end{split}$$

Denote $M^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{Q}_{ij} M = Q_{ij}, M_2^{\mathrm{T}} = E_0$ and $-\varepsilon N_2^{\mathrm{T}} = Q$, where E_0 is a matrix of full column rank. As N is a nonsingular matrix, the above inequality is equivalent to the following:

$$sym\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\{ \Theta_{ij} \otimes \left(E^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{ij} A \right) \right\} + I_{2} \otimes \left(Q^{\mathrm{T}} E_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} A \right) \right\} < 0$$

3 Numerical example

In this section, numerical examples are shown to demonstrate the effectiveness of the presented results.

Example 1. Consider a FOS system (5) described with parameters as $\alpha = 0.5, E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 8 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ and A = $\left[\begin{array}{rrr} -1 & 0\\ 1 & -9 \end{array}\right].$

Firstly, $\vec{E}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$ can be chosen to satisfy $E^{\mathrm{T}}E_{0}=0.$

Then, by solving LMI (9) one obtains two real symmetric positive definite matrices

$$Q_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.0349 & -0.8182 \\ -0.8182 & 0.8639 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Q_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1167 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.3092 \end{bmatrix}$$

two skew-symmetric matrices

$$Q_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.8182 \\ -0.8182 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Q_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.8182 \\ -0.8182 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and a matrix $Q = \begin{bmatrix} -2.7139 & -2.7867 \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore, from Theorem 1, one knows that the fractional-order singular system is admissible.

Example 2. Consider another FOS system (5) with parameters as $\alpha = 0.5$, $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ and $E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$. Choose $E_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$ to satisfy $E^{\mathrm{T}}E_0 = 0$. Then, solving LMI (9) by Matlab, one obtains the follow-

ing information, which means this FOS is not admissible.

Result: best value of t: 5.404716E-012

f-radius saturation: 21.284% of R = 1.00E+009

Marginal infeasibility: these LMI constraints may be feasible but are not strictly feasible.

$\mathbf{4}$ Conclusion

The issue of admissibility for fractional-order singular system with order belonging to (0,1) was considered in this paper. The extensions of some basic results of integer order singular system to fractional-order singular system were given, e.g., the definitions of regularity, impulse-free and admissibility; and a sufficient and necessary conditions of admissibility was proposed, which was verified by numerical examples.

References

- 1 Oldham K B, Spanier J. The Fractional Calculus. New York and London: Academic Press, 1974. 69-83
- 2 Podlubny I. Fractional Differential Equations. New York: Academic Press, 1999. 38-52
- 3 Ichise M, Nagayanagi Y, Kojima T. An analog simulation of non-integer order transfer functions for analysis of electrode processes. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry and Interfacial Electrochemistry, 1971, 33(2): 253-265
- 4 McAdams E T, Lackermeier A, McLaughlin J A, Macken D, Jossinet J. The linear and non-linear electrical properties of the electrode-electrolyte interface. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 1995, 10(1-2): 67–74

- 5 Gaul L, Klein P, Kemple S. Damping description involving fractional operators. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 1991, 5(2): 81-88
- 6 Makris N, Constantinou M C. Fractional-derivative Maxwell model for viscous dampers. Journal of Structural Engineering, 1991, **117**(9): 2708–2724
- 7 Bagley R L, Calico R A. Fractional order state equations for the control of viscoelastically damped structures. Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics, 1991, 14(2): 304-311
- 8 Clerc J P, Tremblay A M S, Albinet G, Mitescu C D. a.c. response of fractal networks. Journal de Physique Letters, 1984, 45: 913-924
- Gao Xin, Yu Jue-Bang. Synchronization of two coupled 9 fractional-order chaotic oscillators. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 2005, 26(1): 141-145
- 10 Monje C A, Chen Y Q, Vinagre B M, Xue D Y, Feliu-Batlle V. Fractional-order Systems and Controls: Fundamentals and Applications. London: Springer-Verlag, 2010. 37-78
- 11 Gao Zhe, Liao Xiao-Zhong. A stability criterion for linear fractional order systems in frequency domain. Acta Automatica Sinica, 2011, 37(11): 1387-1394 (in Chinese)
- 12 Guo T L. Controllability and observability of impulsive fractional linear time-invariant system. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 2012, 64(10): 3171-3182
- 13 Podlubny I. Fractional-order systems and $PI^{\lambda}D^{\mu}$ controllers. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 1999, 44(1): 208 - 214
- 14 Oustaloup A, Mathieu B, Lanusse P. The CRONE control of resonant plants: application to a flexible transmission. European Journal of Control, 1995, 1: 113–121
- 15 Ahn H S, Chen Y Q. Necessary and sufficient stability condition of fractional-order interval linear systems. Automatica, 2008, 44(11): 2985-2988
- 16 Lu J G, Chen G R. Robust stability and stabilization of fractional-order interval systems: an LMI approach. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 2009, 54(6): 1294 - 1299
- 17 Lu J G, Chen Y Q. Robust stability and stabilization of fractional-order interval systems with the fractional order α : the $0 < \alpha < 1$ case. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2010, **55**(1): 152–158
- 18 Hwang C, Cheng Y C. A numerical algorithm for stability testing of fractional delay systems. Automatica, 2006, 42(5): 825 - 831
- 19 Gao Z, Liao X Z. Robust stability criterion of fractionalorder functions for interval fractional-order systems. IET Control Theory and Applications, 2013, 7(1): 60-67
- 20 Ding Y S, Wang Z D, Ye H P. Optimal control of a fractionalorder HIV-immune system with memory. IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology, 2012, 20(3): 763-769
- 21 Zhu Cheng-Xiang, Zou Yun. Simultaneous identification of fractional-order system structure and order and parameters based on alternately transforming condensed information matrix. Acta Automatica Sinica, 2012, **38**(8): 1280–1287 (in Chinese)
- 22 Gabano J D, Poinot T, Kanoun H. Identification of a thermal system using continuous linear parameter-varying frac-tional modelling. *IET Control Theory and Applications*, 2011, **5**(7): 889–899
- 23 Jin Y, Chen Y Q, Xue D Y. Time-constant robust analysis of a fractional order [proportional derivative] controller. IET Control Theory and Applications, 2011, 5(1): 164–172
- 24 Gao Zhe, Liao Xiao-Zhong. Robust stability criteria for interval fractional-order systems: the $0 < \alpha < 1$ case. Acta Automatica Sinica, 2012, **38**(2): 175–182 (in Chinese)

- 25 Dai L Y. Singular Control Systems. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1989. 79-98
- 26 Lewis F L. A survey of linear singular systems. Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing, 1986, 5(1): 3–36
- 27 Fang C H, Chang F R. Analysis of stability robustness for generalized state-space systems with structured perturbations. Systems and Control Letters, 1993, 21(2): 109–114
- 28 Fang C H, Lee L, Chang F R. Robust control analysis and design for discrete-time singular systems. Automatica, 1994, 30(11): 1741-1750
- 29 N'Doye I, Zasadzinski M, Darouach M, Radhy N E. Regularization and stabilization of singular fractional-order systems. In: Proceedings of the 4th IFAC Workshop Fractional Differentiation and its Applications. Badajoz, Spain: IFAC, 2010. 145–149

YU Yao Received the B. Sc. degree from Department of Control Science and Engineering, Huazhong University of Science & Technology in 2004, the M. S. and Ph. D. degrees from Department of Automation, Tsinghua University in 2010. She was a postdoctor with Tsinghua University. Currently, she is a lecturer with the School of Automation & Electrical Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing. Her research interest covers nonlinear control, robust control, and time-delay systems. E-mail: yuyao@ustb.edu.cn

JIAO Zhuang Received the B. Sc. degree from Northeastern University in 2007, the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees from Department of Automation, Tsinghua University in 2012. He currently is an engineer at Beijing Institute of Electronic System Engineering. His research interest covers nonlinear control and fractional-order systems. E-mail: jaoz07@foxmail.com

SUN Chang-Yin Received the B. Sc. degree in mathematics from Sichuan University, the M. S. and Ph. D. degrees in electrical engineering from Southeast University, in 1996, 2001, and 2003, respectively. He worked as a postdoctor at Chinese University of Hong Kong in 2004. He joined Hohai University in 2006 as a professor and then worked at Southeast University as a professor since 2007. He is also a distinguished professor of University of Science and Technology Beijing. His research interest covers intelligent control, optimization algorithms, and pattern recognition. Corresponding author of this paper. E-mail: cys@ustb.edu.cn